

Superfund Site Strategy Recommendation

Region 6

Site Name: Specialty Polymers Site Number: TAD 981154826Alias Site Name(s): Address: 1331 Holmes RdCity/County or Parish/State/Zip: Houston, TX (Harris County)Recommendation:

- ☐ 1. No further action needed; no hazardous waste problem.
- ☒ 2. No further remedial action planned under Superfund; site would not score high enough using the Hazard Ranking System to be considered for the National Priorities List.

- ☐ 3. No further remedial action planned Under Superfund; action may be appropriate under other authority: RCRA _____ NPDES _____ SPCC _____
404 _____ TSCA _____ UIC _____ SMCRA _____ State _____
Other _____.

- ☐ 4. Further pre-remedial investigative action needed under Superfund:

PA _____
SSI _____ Priority: High _____ Medium _____
LSI _____
Other _____
To be performed by _____

Discussion:

See Attachment

9647494

Copies to (please list):Recommended By: David Monaghan GH-ESDate: 9/26/88Approved By: Presley B. TuttleDate: 9-27-88

Specialty Polymers (TXD981158826)
09/26/88

Recommendation: No further remedial action planned under Superfund; site would not score high enough using the Hazard Ranking System to be considered for the National Priorities List.

Discussion:

The Specialty polymers site is the location of an abandoned, 1 acre polyurethane foam manufacturing facility which was identified by a citizen's complaint. During a site assessment of the facility in 1986, EPA's technical assistance team identified the presence of approximately 1000 drums of various compounds. These drums were subsequently removed by Mr. James Howell, the property owner, with the technical assistance of the Texas Water Commission. The drums were transported by Custom Environmental Transport, Inc. as well as Braline Services Co., Inc. to the Rollins Environmental Services facility in Deer Park, TX and the Cecos International facility in Livingston, La. A follow-up site inspection conducted by Ecology and Environment, Inc. confirmed the removal of these drums; however, odors and unvegetated areas were further identified on-site. However, since the primary hazard at this facility has been removed and since there is no groundwater or surface water useage in the area, this site is not considered a candidate for the National Priorities List. For this reason, no further remedial action is planned.

D. G.
9/26/88